Date: Sat, 1 Oct 94 01:50:57 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #1080

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Sat, 1 Oct 94 Volume 94 : Issue 1080

Today's Topics:

900 Mhz phone questions ...
Aside "How far can I talk?"
Car warantees and 2-way radio: Summary
Courtesy In Amateur Radio

HTX-202 key & ptt locks...

RadioMap service expands into OH, PA, MO (and IL, IN, MI, NY, WI)

Restrictive Covenants: I can't have *any* antenna?
REVISED: 'End of the CW Watch' Narrative

Small, portable Ch3 tuner?

toroid question

Tucker Electronics

Why does Radio Shac care if I export HT202? ##

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 30 Sep 1994 14:07:07 -0500

From: lll-winken.llnl.gov!koriel!cs.utexas.edu!convex!not-for-mail@ames.arpa

Subject: 900 Mhz phone questions ...

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In <36hhl5\$cha@maple.enet.net> sgross@enet.net writes:

>In article <36ckud\$qpr@nntp1.u.washington.edu>, <aalto@u.washington.edu>
>writes:

>> I just got a new AT&T digital cordless 900Mhz phone, and although it

>> is indeed an improvement over my old cordless, I need more range.>

>I have a Tropez 900Mhz cordless phone and it's range is about 1/2 mile >for a full quieting noise free signal. It's by far the best of the >units I have checked out and it includes digital encryption.

I have the Tropez too. Occasionally, it doesn't ring and I'll hear my answering machine going. I don't know for SURE that the phone is at fault. Also, several folks I've talked to say the range is better on other 900 phones such as the ATT and the Uniden. Just the other day at Circuit City, the salesman said the Uniden 9100 had been taken off the shelves because too many customers have brought them back with complaints. I tried one in the store and found an unacceptable echo or "down in a barrel" sound. Anyway, I;m happy with the Tropez for the \$189 price tag but it does have a constant hiss and the range polling feature eats up battery life if the unit is left off the cradle overnight.

David

Date: 30 Sep 94 09:33:37 -0600

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!netline-fddi.jpl.nasa.gov!

news.byu.edu!hamblin.math.byu.edu!yvax.byu.edu!physc1.byu.edu!

peterson@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Aside "How far can I talk?"

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <Cwuu2p.M8L@wang.com>, dbushong@wang.com (Dave Bushong) writes:

> So that a million-foot-high antenna will talk about 1400 miles.

>

> Can I get one of them from Texas Towers?

Well, maybe not from Texas Towers but there is a neighbor down there at Johnson Space Center that comes pretty close - 1,000,000 feet works out to about 189 miles (or 164 nautical miles which is the preferred unit, I believe) and that is in the ballpark of a typical space shuttle orbit. Now if we can just figure out how to get them to park that thing in the right place...

Bryan Peterson ki7td

Date: Thu, 29 Sep 1994 15:52:27 GMT

From: mdisea!mothost!lmpsbbs!news@uunet.uu.net Subject: Car warantees and 2-way radio: Summary

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article 3124@bridge2.NSD.3Com.COM, peter@Thoth.usa.3Com.com (Peter Simpson)
writes:
}In article 19591@ke4zv.atl.ga.us, gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) writes:
}>
}Larsen sells excellent NMO mount antennas, and a pack of 5 little black
}NMO hole plugs, which you goop up with silicone seal and pop into the hole
}before you sell the car.
}
}73,
}Peter

Not necessary! Just get a cellular antenna and put on, and now you have a value added feature!!

Bruce, WB4YUC

Date: 30 Sep 1994 16:26:39 GMT

From: sdd.hp.com!spool.mu.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!swiss.ans.net!

solaris.cc.vt.edu!news.duke.edu!zombie.ncsc.mil!cs.umd.edu!newsfeed.gsfc.nasa.gov!

trmmstocker.gsfc.nasa.@@ihnp4.ucsd.edu

Subject: Courtesy In Amateur Radio

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <36h5g2\$j1f@crl4.crl.com> Stephen E. Farlow, sefarlow@crl.com
writes:

- > I am quickly getting fed up with HF. It seems bandwidth is eaten up by > nets, contests, or folks just ragchewing on and on and not letting anyone
- > else get a word in. I am talking specifically about 7245 and 3870 mHz.
- > These folks seem to want to muscle out everyone by using amps when they
- > proably don't need them. Don't FCC regulations require use of the minimum
- > amount of power to maintain communications?
- > A lot of the fun of HF seems to be going away beacuse of numerous nets
- > and contests.

I have a theory that this state which by the way is also true of VHF comes from the fact that many Hams are insecure and don't want to talk with people they don't know. By having lots of nets the same people crop up again and again and a comfort level builds. If you ragchew with the same person(s) then once again you don't have to worry about talking to strangers. In contests generally nothing important is said anyway except for the obligatory RST, RIG, QTH, QST info so no one has to be uncomfortable with making conversations with strangers other

than the ritual exchange to substantiate a contact. It seems a strange things for "supposed" communicators but maybe the growing insularity of modern western culture is what drives it.

I'm sure lots of you have had the experience of getting on a repeater in an area outside of your usual stomping grounds and indicated that you were prepared to "communicate". Nobody answered you; however, not 30sec after you gave up one ham called another specific ham and they began a long conversation. I had it happen on a repeater in Ohio when I called to get help on directions -- just dead air in response. However, 20sec later

two hams in the area began their discussions of some topic.

Don't think any of this is going to change much. One can either except the situation and work with those Hams who are prepared to deal with newcomers or get out of the hobby. I've been doing the first but given the amount of time I spend on the air last month about 1min; I'm starting to lead to the second.

Erich

Date: 30 Sep 1994 20:26:32 GMT

From: haven.umd.edu!cville-srv.wam.umd.edu!ham@purdue.edu

Subject: HTX-202 key & ptt locks...

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

By invoking the menu (access PL tones, offset, XMIT INHIBIT, and a wide variety of features) you can keep the transmitter from being allowed to key up. Func plus the "A" key locks and unlocks the keypad.

Not too tough training for RS employees, eh?

- -

\ / Long Original

Scott Rosenfeld Amateur Radio NF3I Burtonsville, MD | Live WAC-CW/SSB WAS DXCC - 130 QSLed on dipoles _____ | Dipoles! Antenna!

Date: Fri, 30 Sep 1994 18:51:12 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!swiss.ans.net!

paperboy.amoco.com!hawkings!news@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: RadioMap service expands into OH, PA, MO (and IL, IN, MI, NY, WI)

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Having a color map of most of the local transmitters is truly wonderful! Driving by antennas for years made me curious as to the frequency and the ownership. For example, I now know who owns the huge towers a few miles from my house (AT&T) and what frequency is used. Also, as I am interested in pager technology, I have quickly identified the Skytel transmitter locations!

This is now possible (much easier then digging through multiple databases) through Bob Parnass' RadioMap(tm) service. Knowing Bob and respecting his radio knowledge, I quickly sent him a check and asked him to identify transmitters in my immediate area. He looks at the density of transmitters and determines the overall radius (for the Chicago loop area, he can only diagram a small radius due to the density while a rural area he can expand the radius to 10 miles or so) and plots the output. He returned a nice map with a linear list of transmitters within a week.

Overall, the RadioMap is a good deal and now I KNOW (instead of guessing) where the transmitters are!

Specifics: RadioMap(tm), Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, Illinois, 60543-9794, \$19.95

(I have no affiliation with the RadioMap(tm) product, just a pleased customer)

--Joe Jesson

Date: Fri, 30 Sep 1994 18:34:24 GMT

```
gw1.att.com!nntpa!bigtop!longs!n2ic@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Restrictive Covenants: I can't have *any* antenna?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
Date: 30 Sep 1994 17:55:55 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!spool.mu.edu!
sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!csn!jabba.cybernetics.net!cybernetics.net!
ab4el@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: REVISED: 'End of the CW Watch' Narrative
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu
[ The files referred to in this 'readme' can be retrieved by
  anonymous ftp from two archive sites:
    SunSITE.unc.edu
                     (permanent)
    /pub/academic/agriculture/agronomy/ham/things-to-build/na4g
    ftp.Cybernetics.NET
                        (temporary)
    /pub/users/ab4el
 For ease, the group of files have been 'rolled into one'...
    narrative.tar.Z
                      (for Unix jocks)
    narrativ.zip
                    (using PKZIP 2.04g for MS-DOS users)
 Don't forget to set 'binary' mode before 'get'...else they will
 come to you as trash. :^)
 de AB4EL ]
 -----README BEGINS-----
  % Article by Robert D. Keys (NA4G) on the closing of the watch on 500khz %
  \% by the U.S. Coast Guard, 1 August, 1993, and on the closing of the CW \%
  \% watch by CFH on 31 August, 1993. Those attending the U.S. Coast Guard \%
  % closing of the watch on 500khz at NMN in Chesapeake, VA were Robert D. %
  % Keys (NA4G), Robert Pierre ``Tim'' Buehlmann, (N4IQA), Jack Ritter
  % (WOUCE), and Mike Jett (WD4UYJ). The closing of the watch by CFH was
  % monitored by Robert D. Keys (NA4G).
  % This article is copyright, 1993, 1994 by Robert D. Keys (NA4G)
  % All rights reserved, worldwide.
                                                                     %
  % Public non-commercial distribution of this work is authorized.
                                                                     %
```

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!sgiblab!sgigate.sgi.com!olivea!news.bu.edu!

ABSTRACT

It was the end of a long and bountiful watch at the key. Some had called it the end of an era. To others, it was merely the changing of the watch or the progress of technology. As of 1 August, 1993, the U.S. Coast Guard officially closed down all medium frequency (MF, 600-700 meter or 405-525khz) continuous wave (CW) radiotelegraphy operations, worldwide. This cessation of MF CW operations did indeed mark the end of a 92 year use of these frequencies by the U.S. Coast Guard. This article describes a narrative story around that cessation of MF CW operations, and includes copy of the final broadcast to mariners on that frequency. As of 1 September, 1993, the Canadian Navy stations, including CFH ceased all Morse code services. Also included is a narrative concerning the cessation of CW operations at CFH. Some historical notes of a trip by N4IQA, WOUCE, WD4UYJ and NA4G to the USCG CAMSLANT/NMN Chesapeake, VA station regarding the cessation are included.

The author would like to acknowledge the kind cooperation of the United States Coast Guard, CAMSLANT, NMN, Chesapeake, VA, especially RM3 Sergio Morales, and the many others on watch that day. On the behalf of those four who were there, the amateur radio fraternity in general, those whose lives have plied the high seas throughout the world, and especially those whose lives have been directly influenced by your dedicated service over the years, I say Thank You!

This humble work, I therefore dedicate, to all you men and women of the United States Coast Guard, present and past or silent key, and especially to those of you who have sparked your sparks, arced your arcs, sent strong and clear signals over the ether, kept a fine-tuned ear deftly probing the crackle and din on 600 meters, and pounded a mighty fine brass on a long and bountiful 92 year watch at the key.

Raleigh, NC, 25 September, 1994.

Enjoy 73 DE NA4G Boatanchor Bob

************************* * 73 TU SU SK DE NA4G ``Boat Anchor Bob'', an ol' CW fart. * ************************* * Morse has been in the family for over 100 years. * Morse radiotelegraphy (Spark/CW) has been in the family since 1914. ************************* * May you have fair winds and following seas on your watch at the key. * ************************* -----README ENDS-----Date: Fri, 30 Sep 1994 18:37:13 GMT From: swrinde!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!nic-nac.CSU.net! charnel.ecst.csuchico.edu!yeshua.marcam.com!zip.eecs.umich.edu! newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!news1.oakland.edu!rcsuna.gmr.com!@@ihnp4.ucsd.edu Subject: Small, portable Ch3 tuner? To: info-hams@ucsd.edu In article <36a8s1\$1mi@yoda.Syntex.Com>, bassett@merlot.syntex.com (Greg Bassett) writes: > > --> Ah, yet another unusual unique need... > I'm at the R/C model field. I have my new micro-miniature camera and ATV > tranmitter in the airplane. I have this wonderful expensive camcorder that > can act as a VCR on batteries. I have my ATV downconverter. I want to fly > the plane and capture the moments forever on metal particle tape. > Only one small problem. I can't get from Channel 3 (output from ATV > downconverter) to the VCR (NTSC input). > The only commercial solution I've seen if from PC Electronics in the form > of a very nice receiver (~\$100). Since I spent so much money on the micro-> miniature camera and ATV transmitter, I'd sure like to find an inexpensive > solution to this problem.

Find yourself a VCR with a junk tape transport and use the RF circuits in

it to get from ch. 3 to NTSC. If you use a cable-compatible one, you might be able to dispense with your down-converter, depending on what ATV frequency you're using.

I picked up a "junk" VCR at a hamfest a couple years ago for \$10, intending to strip it for the RF circuits. Turns out it bascially works, so I haven't been able to bring myself to tear it apart yet. Guess I'll have to look for a cheaper one to get a real junker!

Roger Grady K90PO c21rag@kocrsv01.delcoelect.com
Delco Electronics Corp. Kokomo, IN
"All information and opinions are personal unless otherwise stated."

Date: Fri, 30 Sep 1994 19:09:08 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!srgenprp!alanb@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: toroid question To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

beavis (beavis@pentagon.io.com) wrote:

: I have been building a qrp rig and was wondering

: about how to wind the toroids. If you need, say,

: 12 turns, what are the differences between

: bunching the windings together tightly or evenly

: spacing them out. ...

If it's a high-permeability core, it doesn't make very much difference whether the windings are tightly-spaced or spread out, although you will tend to get a little higher inductance with tight spacing. In fact, that's one way of making small adjustments in inductance, by spreading or compressing the turns.

With spread-out turns, coil Q will generally be slightly higher and distributed capacitance lower, so space-winding is generally preferred.

AL N1AL

Date: Fri, 30 Sep 1994 18:58:44 GMT

From: svc.portal.com!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!srgenprp!alanb@decwrl.dec.com

Subject: Tucker Electronics

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Michael G. Katzmann (michael@vk2bea.UUCP) wrote:

: Yes, Tucker's prices are way too high. A friend of mine who frequents

: many hamfests tells me a good starting price for gear is "A tenth of Tucker".

Their prices are very competitive compared to other commercial used test equipment vendors. But of course much higher than flea market prices, as you would expect.

AL N1AL

Date: Fri, 30 Sep 1994 19:07:47 GMT

From: hearst.acc.Virginia.EDU!cscsun!dtiller@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Why does Radio Shac care if I export HT202?
###

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

John Patrick Lestrade (lestrade@Ra.MsState.Edu) wrote:

- : I am brand new to ham radio and yesterday in a RS when I asked aboout
- : their sale on the HT202, the salesman asked me if I intended to take it
- : out of the country. (I happened to be with a french friend whose accent
- : was obvious.) I am not going, unfortunately, out of the country!
- : can anyone enlighten me as to why this is `illegal'?
- : thanks

: patrick

- : ps. can you also recommend a book/magazine that I can buy to start
- : learning about amateur radio. (e.g., is 2-m the `best' for me, 440?,
- : what can i do with the new Technician license, etc.)

Believe it or not, the Radio Shack "Now you're talking..." \$17 book is pretty nice. My girlfriend is currently using it, and seems pleased with it.

As far as the HT in France, I can't think of a technical reason for it to be _illegal_, but there are reasons for it to be _useless_. Some European countries use a 1750 Hz tone to open repeaters. Their split and or inputs/outputs may not coincide with ours, so an HT that'll 'automatically' chooses the split or won't transmit FM below 144.5 or so would be of limited use. As far as legal-ese, France may have laws governing type acceptance, out of band capability, etc. 2m in France may be only 146-148 or 144-146 (remember they used ham freqs at the olympics - b*stards!). Check with the ARRL regarding recip op and equipment standards - they'll be glad to give you the answer, I bet.

- -

David Tiller | Network Administrator | Voice: (804) 752-3710 | dtiller@rmc.edu | n2kau/4 | Randolph-Macon College| Fax: (804) 752-7231 | "Drunk, [Beowulf] slew no | P.O. Box 5005 | ICBM: 37d 42' 43.75" N | hearth companions." | Ashland, Va 23005 | 77d 31' 32.19" W |

Date: (null)
From: (null)

Expanding on the mobile antenna theme ...

Installing a Texas Bugcatcher (a BIG mobile antenna) on your car, and running coax into your ham shack probably isn't a bad idea. It should be perfectly legal from a CC&R viewpoint, and it gives you some negotiating power: Wouldn't the neighbors rather "see" a discrete trap vertical, hidden in the trees and bushes in the backyard, or, would they rather continue to see the Texas Bugcatcher parked out front?

Another point that I haven't seen this time around: About a year ago, the State of Washington passed a law that CC&R's could not be enforced against objects that were not visible from outside of your own property. This law was the direct result of a satellite dish owner being sued by a homeowners association, even though the satellite dish could not be seen from any other property.

We have got to show these anti-antenna people that there are worse things than living next door to a ham!

Note: As a serious contester, this is not my preference. I long for the good old days of the 1950's and 1960's when you could put up 60' of tower and a tribander on a suburban lot, and no one would blink an eye.

By the way, it would be really nice if one of you knowledgeable people, like WA3JPG or KA6S would write up a "Ham Antenna FAQ" explaining PRB-1, CC&R's, etc. Just posting it once every month would save lots of bandwidth whenever this issue resurfaces.

Steve London, N2IC/O n2ic@longs.att.com

Date: 30 Sep 1994 18:29:37 GMT

From: lll-winken.llnl.gov!noc.near.net!ctron-news.ctron.com!asia!mikef@ames.arpa

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <wyn.198.2E8838E2@ornl.gov>, <36ad3g\$8mi@unet.net.com>,

<1994Sep28.114937.26459@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>

Reply-To : mikef@ctron.com

Subject : Re: Why is aviation COM VHF *amplitude* modulated?

In article <1994Sep28.114937.26459@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>, gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) writes:

```
|> In article <36ad3g$8mi@unet.net.com> larson@loren.net.com (Alan Larson) writes:
```

|> >

|> > I would expect FM to be smaller than AM. Class C amplifiers are more

|> >efficient and use less power, as well.

|>

- |> I don't disagree with your main points, Alan, but I'd note that typical
- |> AM transmitters use Class C RF stages too. It's just that big plate
- |> modulator that makes them heavy and bulky. As you note, there are
- |> ways around that. FM rigs by constrast are simple and compact, basically
- |> a CW rig with a low level audio stage FMing the carrier oscillator.

TODAY, FM rigs are simpler to construct. Back when radios were first being installed into airplanes FM was much more complex. Not so much on the transmit side, but rather the receiver. These days you can buy a complete IF strip with a phase discriminator/detector on one chip. In the 1930's and 1940's you were consigned to a large rack of vaccum tubes! AM detectors , by contrast, are usually simple rectifiers - a one or two tube affair at most.

As for converting to FM today... I think it is simple economics. We are out of VHF spectrum space to allow the AM and FM systems to exist side-by-side for transition, and to try to get EVERYONE to switch at once would never fly.

Disclaimer: FM was invented my a gentleman named Armstrong sometime in the '30s or '40s, but I can't remember the exact dates, but it was well after radios were in common use in aircraft. IMO: Modern AM transceivers are still pretty good, and the gain by converting to FM would be small.

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #1080 **********